DLS Change Form					
Instructions: Save the form on your computer; fill-in highlighted areas only; e-mail the form to pm.dls@us.army.mil					
Change Type: ECP	Number: 333		Date: 15 JUN 10		
Title: Enterprise Managed Network Access Control and Security Compliance					
Pertains to: ALMS ⊠ DTF ⊠ DDTC ⊠ EMC ⊠ Other ⊠ PMO					
Requestor Information					
Name: Bill Elias		Organization Nam	anization Name & Address:		
Telephone Number: 618-416-0090		E-mail Address: bill.elias@us.army.mil			
Configuration Item (s):			Version:		
CounterACT Appliance					
ActiveCare Advanced Support					
CounterACT Enterprise Manager					
List Configuration Item(s) Affected to Lowest Configuration Component C/CUnit:					
Nomenclature/Name/CC/CU	Vendor I.D.		Part No./Model No.		
Please see above					
Priority: Urgent	Severity:		Classification: Class I		
Change Description					

# **Description of Change:**

Network Access Control (NAC) configured with the use of ForeScout technology will require Media Access Control (MAC) level access control to the DLS enterprise network. ForeScout provides an enterprise manageable solution that will increase DLS security posture and also scan the systems for compliance prior to allowing access to the DLS enterprise.

### **Need/Justification for Change:**

ST&E/ATO and OPORD 10-125 (suspense 16 JUN 10) require that we have Network Access control (NAC) implemented within the enterprise. Just recently was has an issue at Illsheim where the RCERT picked up a system on DLS IP space with a name of MasterMidget, but after investigation it became apparent that a class was conducted with laptop's and one of those laptops was connected to a DLS switch and obtained a DHCP address from the local DOIM DHCP server and had full connectivity to the LAN and WAN. Once the system connected it started a P2P session with and WAN connected system. Per AR 25-2 the system in question must be rebuilt. However, since the system is not a DLS asset we must contact the trainer for the class and contact their IA staff and inform them of the infraction so that they can rebuild their system and tell them to report to the E-RCERT.

# **System Impact:**

The impact to DLS is that if a systems network interface card (NIC) is changed we must know what the MAC address of the new NIC so that we can add that MAC to the list of approved MAC addresses. If the system is not compliant with security standards the system will not be allowed access to the DLS enterprise. All of this is based upon the configuration of the appliance.CounterACT

### Benefit/Savings of Implementing for this Change:

The Benefit of CounterACT is reducing the number of finding on our up and coming ST&E/ATO, and compliance with OPORD 10-125. The other benefit is the increased security posture that the system provides DLS. I would also like to add that this was a finding on the last ST&E, and we have found that Mr. Winkler does not like to continually see findings that can be corrected with the procurement of software and or devices.

## **Documentation:**

Reviews Required:	
Risk:	

DLS Change Form (concluded)				
Materials Required				
Materials Cost: 65,000	Estimated Manpower hrs: 1040	Manpower Cost \$: .5 FTE		
Summary of Signatures				
Approve  Approve w/change  Withdrawn  Disapproved  Rework				
Signatures for Approval				
Ms. Helen A. Remily TRADOC Capabilities Manager TADLP				
Signature:		Date:		
Approve  Approve w/change  Disapproved				
Mr. Stanley C. Davis Project Director, Distributed Learning System				
Signature:		Date:		
Approve  Approve w/change  Disapproved				
Verification Officer (COR) Assigned				
Verification Officer's Signature:		Date:		
Verification Officer's Recommendation: Approve ☐ Disapprove ☐ Rework ☐				
Comments:				
Configuration Manager:				
Configuration Manager's	Signature:	Date: 10/29/10		